

## Operationalization of the evaluation criteria

<b>I. I. Capacity for project implementation</b>		
		<i>Maximum points – 5</i>
1.	Capacity of the applicant in terms of available human resources, materials and technical equipment for project implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 5 p. – Availability of specialized project management unit plus equipment at each work place;</li> <li>- 4 p. – Availability of appointed project management specialist plus equipment at each work place;</li> <li>- 3 p. – Availability of equipped work places for the relevant personnel, but no appointed project management expert;</li> <li>- 2 p. – Availability of sufficient personnel, but missing necessary equipment;</li> <li>- 1 p. – Insufficient personnel and insufficient equipment</li> </ul>
<b>II. Budget and readiness for project implementation</b>		
		<i>Maximum points – 20</i>
2.	Clear and detailed budget and bills of quantities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 5 p. – All necessary budget elements are available, they are well arranged and mutually connected;</li> <li>- 4 p. – All necessary budget elements are available and they are mutually connected, but are not well arranged;</li> <li>- 3 p. – All necessary budget elements are available and they are arranged, but there are minimal non-compliances among them;</li> <li>- 2 p. – All necessary budget elements are available and they are arranged, but there are significant non-compliances among them;</li> <li>- 1 p. – Missing one or more of the necessary budget elements</li> </ul>
3.	Readiness for project implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 5 p. – If all documents are available and the energy audits are up-to-date and complete;</li> <li>- 4 p. – If all documents are available, but the energy audits are not up-to-date;</li> <li>- 3 p. – If 2 or 3 documents are missing and the energy audits are up-to-date and complete;</li> <li>- 2 p. – If 2 or 3 documents are missing and the energy audits are not up-to-date and complete;</li> <li>- 1 p. – If 4 or more of the necessary documents are missing</li> </ul>
4.	Estimated duration for project implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- less than 8 months – 5 p.</li> <li>- 8 – 12 months – 4 p.</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 12 – 15 months – 3 p.</li> <li>- 15 – 18 months – 2 p.</li> <li>- more than 18 months – 1 p.</li> </ul>
<b>III. Overall result of project implementation</b>		
<i>Maximum points – 75</i>		
5.	Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions (tons)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More than 50% above the average – 5 p.</li> <li>• Up to 50% above the average – 4 p.</li> <li>• Average level (+/- 20 %) for this package – 3 p.</li> <li>• Up to 50% below the average – 2 p.</li> <li>• More than 50% below the average – 1 p.</li> </ul>
6.	Reduced emissions per BGN 1000 investment costs	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More than 50% above the average – 5 p.</li> <li>• Up to 50% above the average – 4 p.</li> <li>• Average level (+/- 20 %) for this package – 3 p.</li> <li>• Up to 50% below the average – 2 p.</li> <li>• More than 50% below the average – 1 p.</li> </ul>
7.	After project completion and conducted energy efficiency audit the achieved energy consumption level for the project sites should correspond to:	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Category A – 5 p.</li> <li>• Category B – 4 p.</li> <li>• Category C – 3 p.</li> <li>• Category D – 2 p.</li> <li>• Category E – 1 p.</li> </ul>
8.	Availability of energy management system (energy consumption management and monitoring system)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 5 p. – All ESM are united in a common system for management and monitoring (Building Management System – BMS);</li> <li>- 4 p. – There is a management and monitoring system for more than one ESM,</li> <li>- 3p. – There is a management system for more than one ESM but there is no monitoring.</li> <li>- 2 p. – There is a management and monitoring system for one ESM</li> <li>- 1 p. – There is a management system for one ESM, but there is no monitoring.</li> <li>- 0 p. – There is no such system.</li> </ul>

## CLIMATE INVESTMENT PROGRAM

9.	Additional environmental benefits (e.g. reduction of air, water and soil pollution )	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- 5 p. - Submitted concrete and justified proofs, in this number with quantitative indicators regarding such benefits;</li> <li>- 4 p. - Concrete and justified proofs for such benefits are provided;</li> <li>- 3p. - General proofs for such benefits are provided;</li> <li>- 2 p. - Suggestions for such benefits are provided only in a declarative form;</li> <li>- 1 p. - There is no information about such benefits</li> </ul>
10.	Social effects - number of direct or indirect beneficiaries, jobs created	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 5 p. - more than 12 000 directly or indirectly benefited;</li> <li>• 4 π. - from 8 000 to 12 000 directly or indirectly benefited;</li> <li>• 3 p. - from 2000 to 7 000 directly or indirectly benefited;</li> <li>• 2 p. - from 500 to 2000 directly or indirectly benefited;</li> <li>• 1 p. - less than 500 directly or indirectly benefited</li> </ul>
11.	Economic impact of the project - accomplished financial savings in euro per year	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More than 50% above the average - 5 p.</li> <li>• Up to 50% above the average - 4 p.</li> <li>• Average level (+/- 20 %) for this package - 3 p.</li> <li>• Up to 50% below the average - 2 p.</li> <li>• More than 50% below the average - 1 p.</li> </ul>